

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/844,827	04/27/2001		David C. Mitchell	368B 2535	
2292	7590	10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
BIRCH ST		KOLASCH & BIR	PAK, SI	PAK, SUNG H	
		A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2874	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/844,827	MITCHELL ET AL.					
7.20.20.y 7.00.311	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sung H. Pak	2874					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avection in the final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding amound the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 September 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Appellant's Brief must be filed R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	•	see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	i better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would lead canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the					
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappa	roved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other:	\mathcal{G}_{i}	m Heel					
		And Company to					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' request for reconsideration has been carefully studied by the examiner. The pending claims have been reconsidered in view of the arguments set forth in the response, however the arguments are not persuasive and the claims are still unpatentable. The examiner repectfully upholds the final rejection for reasons stated in the official action.

Bu Held